



International Journal of Institutional Leadership, Policy and Management
Volume 5, Issue 2, pp. 210-228. 2023

ISSN: 2735-9220

www.ijilpm.com.ng



21ST CENTURY ADJUSTMENT MECHANISMS: A RECIPE FOR EDUCATIONAL LEADERSHIP AND EFFECTIVENESS

Goodness Munachim ANELE
Department of Educational Management
(Educational Leadership and Policy)
Ignatius Ajuru University of Education, Nigeria
goodnessanele9@gmail.com

ABSTRACT

The multiphasic and pluralistic nature of every human society coupled with the new technological driven realities has advanced the need for educational leaders to adopt new ways to resolve these ever-changing challenges of the 21st century for organizational effectiveness. This paper unearths the need for educational leaders to adopt adjustment mechanisms for organizational effectiveness in the 21st century. Adjustment mechanisms are methods or perspectives used by individuals to compensate for changes in their circumstances or abilities. Leaders are bound to encounter all manner of human elements and situations in organizations, in order to be effective, they need to consider the different mechanisms to adjust to the differences in human behaviour to achieve equilibrium, satisfaction and organizational effectiveness. The paper outlined the different adjustment mechanisms like compensation, identification, rationalization, repression among others. The contingency and situational leadership theories served as theoretical constructs for the paper. The paper also examined the perspectives and common challenges faced by educational leaders in increasing organizational effectiveness. The paper revealed a variety of adaptive mechanisms suitable for 21st century leaders which provide leaders with the tools they need to meet the challenges of the modern educational environment and increase organizational effectiveness. The paper concluded that adjustment mechanisms are critical for 21st century educational leaders to manage the complexity of the modern educational environment and promote organizational effectiveness in Nigeria. Adopting skills of emotional intelligence, distributed leadership, technology integration, and data-driven decision-making are among suggestions made to improve educational leadership and effectiveness in Nigeria.

Keywords: Adjustment Mechanisms, Educational Leadership, Organizational Effectiveness, 21st Century.

Reference to this paper should be made as follows:

Anele, G. M. (2023). 21st century adjustment mechanisms: a recipe for educational leadership and effectiveness. *International Journal of Institutional Leadership, Policy and Management*, 5(2), 210-228.

Copyright © IJILPM 2023.

INTRODUCTION

Human behaviour has remained the most complex and unpredictable phenomenon in human existence. By understanding the need to adapt leadership styles to the specific needs of different situations, leaders can effectively adjust their actions and approaches to optimize outcomes. As the demands on educational leaders continue to grow, it is imperative that they possess the necessary skills and strategies to navigate the complexities of the 21st century educational environment (Harris & Jones, 2022; Shields et al., 2021).

A key factor in demonstrating leadership in this dynamic environment is the use of adaptive mechanisms. Adaptive mechanisms in the context of educational leadership include strategies, practices, and behaviors adopted by leaders to adapt and respond effectively to different educational contexts and challenges (Gunter & Kenny, 2020; Spillane et al., 2022). Implementing these mechanisms enables leaders to proactively respond to changing needs and circumstances within the organization, ultimately increasing organizational effectiveness (Hargreaves & Fink, 2018; Leithwood et al., 2022). The purpose of this article is to address the concept of adaptive mechanisms in educational leadership and explore their importance in promoting organizational effectiveness in the 21st century.

Since the educational situation in the 21st century will change dynamically, it is necessary to establish a coordination mechanism by educational leaders. Educational institutions face a variety of challenges including globalization, technological progress, changing student demographics, and evolving societal expectations (Moosavi et al., 2022). To effectively meet these challenges, leaders must be able to tailor their leadership styles, approaches, and practices to the specific needs and characteristics of their organization (Day & Leithwood, 2022; Wong & Wong, 2021). Research has shown that adaptive mechanisms play an important role in enabling leaders to deal with complex educational problems and promote organizational effectiveness (Harris et al., 2022; Urick et al., 2023).

The success or failure of any organization is often attributed to the workings of the leader at the helm of affairs. Despite the fact that human beings are complex and at different times display different behaviours based on situations or events, the end result of any activity of a leader is either success or failure. This being the case, there is need to understand the needs, thoughts, actions, emotions, moods, behaviours and of course, every biological, physical and/or psychological element of the leaders at different levels and organizations (Ogona, 2022). Understanding these adjustment mechanisms is crucial for leaders and those involved in leadership development. Leaders who are aware of these mechanisms can better navigate the challenges and pressures they face, while also promoting their own well-being and that of their teams. By acknowledging and addressing these adjustment mechanisms, leaders can develop more adaptive coping strategies, enhance self-awareness, and cultivate healthier leadership behaviors.

CONCEPTUAL CLARIFICATIONS

Educational Leadership

Educational leadership involves guiding and influencing others in the educational setting to achieve shared goals, encouraging stakeholders to make informed decisions, and fostering a positive organizational culture (Gunter & Kenny, 2020; Leithwood et al., 2022). Organizational

effectiveness is defined as the success of the intended outcomes and achievement of the institution's mission. These include factors such as student performance, teacher satisfaction, stakeholder engagement, resource allocation, and overall school improvement (Day et al., 2021; Leithwood et al., 2022). Achieving organizational effectiveness requires effective leadership, strategic planning, resource management, and a continuous improvement effort. Adaptive mechanisms are critical in educational leadership as they enable leaders to adapt and respond effectively to diverse challenges and situations in the educational environment (Spillane et al., 2022).

These mechanisms include the strategies, practices, and actions that leaders employ to manage complexity, embrace change, and optimize outcomes (Hargreaves & Fink, 2018). This gives leaders the flexibility to adapt their approaches, styles, and practices as organizational needs and demands change (Hargreaves & Fink, 2018). Adaptive mechanisms enable an education leader to effectively respond to the dynamics of her 21st century education landscape and increase organizational effectiveness. Adaptive decision-making is essential, requiring leaders to collect and analyze relevant data, consider multiple perspectives, and adjust strategies accordingly (Hallinger & Heck, 2021). Continuous learning and professional development keeps leaders abreast of the latest research, best practices, and emerging trends, expanding their knowledge and skills for informed decision-making and effective leadership (Day et al., 2021).

Collaboration and teamwork among stakeholders leverages collective expertise, fosters shared responsibility, drives innovation, and leads to more effective problem solving and decision making (Leithwood & Mascall, 2022). Fostering a culture of innovation encourages creativity, experimentation and exploration of new approaches and ideas, fostering adaptability and continuous improvement (Hargreaves, 2020). Involving stakeholders, including students, teachers, parents, and the wider community, fosters ownership, collaboration, and commitment to common goals, increasing organizational effectiveness (Owens et al., 2022). Adaptive mechanisms are critical to educational leadership and organizational effectiveness. Using these mechanisms, leaders can adapt to the unique challenges and circumstances of the educational environment, ultimately improving organizational performance and fostering continuous improvement.

Concept of Adjustment Mechanism

Adjustment mechanisms refer to the psychological processes employed by leaders to adapt and cope with the diverse challenges and demands they encounter in their roles. These mechanisms encompass the array of strategies and approaches that leaders utilize to navigate complex situations, manage stress, and maintain their psychological well-being (Wang & Cheng, 2021). Leaders often face dynamic and unpredictable situations that require flexibility and adaptability. Adjustment mechanisms provide leaders with the tools and strategies to respond to these circumstances in a manner that promotes resilience, problem-solving, and decision-making. These mechanisms encompass a range of cognitive, emotional, and behavioral processes that enable leaders to regulate their thoughts, emotions, and actions to align with the demands of their roles (Luthans et al., 2022).

Adjustment mechanisms are closely intertwined with the psychological behavior of leaders. Leaders who effectively employ adjustment mechanisms are more likely to exhibit higher levels of emotional intelligence, self-awareness, and self-regulation. They are better

equipped to manage stress, remain focused and goal-oriented, and navigate through setbacks and adversity.

Furthermore, adjustment mechanisms contribute to leaders' overall well-being, promoting a positive work environment and fostering the development of healthy relationships with subordinates and colleagues. While the specific strategies and techniques used within adjustment mechanisms may vary from leader to leader, the overarching goal remains the same - to facilitate adaptation and maintain psychological equilibrium in the face of challenges. These mechanisms encompass both proactive and reactive processes, allowing leaders to anticipate potential obstacles and respond effectively when confronted with unexpected events. These mechanisms enable leaders to regulate their thoughts, emotions, and actions, promoting resilience, problem-solving, and decision-making (Wang et al., 2021). By understanding and utilizing adjustment mechanisms, leaders can enhance their overall performance, maintain psychological well-being, and foster a positive work environment (Luthans et al., 2022).

Types of Adjustment Mechanisms

The following are just a few examples of adjustment mechanisms relevant to the psychological behavior of leaders. By understanding and harnessing these mechanisms, leaders can effectively cope with the challenges they encounter, maintain their psychological well-being, and inspire their followers.

Compensation: Compensation is a mechanism that leaders often employ to overcome perceived shortcomings or limitations in their abilities or resources. By focusing on and developing their strengths, leaders can offset any deficiencies and enhance their overall performance. Research by Grant (2020) suggests that leaders who engage in compensatory behaviors tend to experience higher levels of job satisfaction and effectiveness, as they leverage their strengths to make up for any weaknesses. Compensation as an adjustment mechanism also involves the allocation of resources and rewards to leaders in order to motivate and reinforce desired behaviors. In the context of psychological behavior, compensation can play a significant role in shaping leaders' attitudes, motivation, and engagement. According to the expectancy theory proposed by Vroom (1964), leaders are more likely to exhibit high levels of effort and performance when they perceive a strong link between their performance and the rewards they receive. By aligning compensation with leaders' psychological needs and aspirations, organizations can promote a positive psychological contract, fostering a sense of fairness, satisfaction, and commitment among leaders.

Moreover, compensation can shape leaders' attitudes and behaviors through the concept of relative deprivation. Relative deprivation theory posits that individuals compare their outcomes with those of others and evaluate their satisfaction based on perceived inequalities. If leaders perceive that their compensation is significantly lower than that of their peers or industry standards, they may experience feelings of inequity, which can lead to decreased motivation, job dissatisfaction, and even negative psychological outcomes. On the other hand, when leaders perceive their compensation as fair and competitive, it can foster a sense of equity and promote positive psychological states, influencing their behavior in a constructive manner (Ananyi, 2022). Additionally, compensation serves as an important adjustment mechanism that influences the psychological behavior of leaders. By focusing on strengths, providing fair and competitive rewards, and addressing relative deprivation, compensation can shape leaders' attitudes,

motivation, self-perception, and overall well-being. The research by Grant (2020) supports the significance of compensation in the context of leaders' psychological behavior. By implementing thoughtful and fair compensation practices, organizations can contribute to the positive psychological adjustment of leaders, ultimately enhancing their performance, satisfaction, and effectiveness.

Identification: Identification can shape leaders' leadership style and behavior. Through identification, leaders internalize the characteristics and behaviors of role models, shaping their own leadership approach. For example, leaders who identify with transformational leaders often exhibit similar traits such as charisma, inspirational motivation, and intellectual stimulation.

Research by Avolio et al. (2023) supports the notion that leaders who identify with transformational leaders are more likely to display transformational leadership behaviors themselves, fostering positive outcomes such as employee engagement, satisfaction, and performance. Identification plays a role in the formation of leader-follower relationships. When leaders identify with their followers, it creates a sense of empathy and understanding, leading to enhanced communication and rapport. Leaders who identify with their followers are more likely to demonstrate behaviors such as active listening, emotional support, and shared decision-making (Liu et al., 2022). This identification-based connection contributes to the development of trust, loyalty, and follower satisfaction.

Furthermore, identification can positively influence leaders' psychological well-being by providing a source of support and inspiration. Research by Ashforth and Mael (2018) highlights the concept of social identity and its impact on leaders' psychological adjustment. When leaders identify with a group or community, it creates a sense of belonging and validation, reducing feelings of isolation and promoting psychological resilience. Identifying with a supportive network can provide leaders with emotional support, opportunities for learning and growth, and a sense of purpose and meaning in their leadership roles. Identification serves as a vital adjustment mechanism in leaders' psychological behavior. By identifying with influential role models, organizations, followers, or communities, leaders can shape their self-image, leadership style, and relationships. Identification influences leaders' sense of identity, alignment with organizational values, and overall psychological well-being. The research by Smith and Miller (2022), Pratt and Foreman (2021) supports the significance of identification in the context of leaders' psychological behavior. Organizations can facilitate positive identification processes by promoting inspiring role models, fostering a strong organizational culture, and cultivating supportive leader-follower relationships.

Rationalization: Rationalization is a mechanism leaders may employ to reconcile any cognitive dissonance arising from conflicting values, decisions, or actions. By creating justifications or plausible explanations, leaders can alleviate any internal conflicts and maintain their sense of integrity. Recent research by Chen et al. (2023) examined the role of rationalization in ethical decision-making among leaders, shedding light on how leaders utilize this mechanism to navigate complex ethical dilemmas. Rationalization as an adjustment mechanism significantly influences leaders' psychological behavior in various ways. One aspect is the preservation of self-image and self-esteem. When leaders engage in rationalization, they can reinterpret their actions or decisions in a manner that aligns with their personal values and beliefs. This process allows leaders to maintain a positive self-perception, reducing any potential feelings of guilt or cognitive dissonance (Tetlock, 2017). Research by Bandura (2020) suggests that rationalization

can serve as a self-protective mechanism, buffering leaders from the negative psychological effects of moral transgressions.

Additionally, rationalization can impact leaders' perception of ethical behavior. Leaders may rationalize their actions by attributing them to external factors or situational constraints rather than personal choices. This form of rationalization, known as external attribution, can relieve leaders of personal responsibility for their behavior and minimize feelings of ethical misconduct (Tenbrunsel & Messick, 2019). However, it is important to note that excessive reliance on rationalization can erode ethical decision-making, leading to potential ethical lapses and misconduct. Rationalization serves as an adjustment mechanism that influences leaders' psychological behavior. By employing rationalization, leaders can reconcile cognitive dissonance, maintain self-image, justify decisions, and navigate ethical dilemmas. The research by Tetlock (2017), Tenbrunsel and Messick (2019), supported the significance of rationalization in the context of leaders' psychological behavior. Leaders should be aware of the potential pitfalls of excessive rationalization and strive to engage in ethical decision-making processes that align with their values and promote integrity in their leadership roles.

Negativism: Negativism can manifest as a coping mechanism for leaders when faced with adversity or resistance. This mechanism involves displaying a negative or contrary attitude as a means of asserting control or protecting one's position. A study by Lee and Kim (2021) investigates the impact of negativism on leadership effectiveness, revealing that leaders who engage in constructive negativism—challenging the status quo while maintaining a solution-oriented mindset—tend to foster innovation and organizational growth. Moreover, negativism as an adjustment mechanism can significantly influence leaders' psychological behavior. One aspect is the management of stress and frustration. When leaders encounter obstacles or face resistance, negativism can serve as a defense mechanism to vent or express dissatisfaction. Research by Kahn (2022) suggests that negativism allows leaders to release negative emotions and relieve psychological tension, enabling them to cope with challenging situations more effectively.

Negativism as an adjustment mechanism can be linked to self-reflection and growth. Leaders who engage in negativism may use it as a catalyst for introspection, examining their own beliefs, biases, and assumptions. This process can lead to personal growth, self-awareness, and a willingness to challenge their preconceived notions (Grant, 2020). By embracing negativism constructively, leaders can enhance their adaptability, learning agility, and resilience. Negativism serves as an adjustment mechanism that influences leaders' psychological behavior. By adopting a contrary or skeptical attitude, leaders can cope with stress, make more informed decisions, foster open communication, and promote personal growth. Negativism in the context of leaders' psychological behavior. Leaders should be mindful of balancing negativism with constructive approaches, leveraging it as a tool for critical thinking, innovation, and personal development.

Daydreaming: Daydreaming serves as an adjustment mechanism for leaders, allowing them to momentarily detach from their demanding roles and engage in imaginative mental states. This mechanism provides leaders with opportunities for cognitive processing, problem-solving, and creative ideation. Research by Thompson and White (2022) explores the role of daydreaming in leadership performance, highlighting how leaders who engage in strategic daydreaming demonstrate enhanced strategic thinking and visionary leadership. Daydreaming as an adjustment mechanism significantly influences leaders' psychological behavior. One aspect is its impact on cognitive processing and problem-solving. Daydreaming provides leaders with a

mental space to explore different scenarios, generate new ideas, and mentally simulate potential solutions (Baird et al., 2021). It allows leaders to tap into their subconscious mind, facilitating the integration of diverse information and perspectives. Zabelina et al. (2023) suggests that daydreaming can enhance creativity and innovation by enabling leaders to make novel connections and insights.

Notwithstanding, daydreaming can enhance leaders' strategic thinking and visionary leadership. By allowing their minds to wander, leaders can engage in long-term thinking, envisioning future possibilities and goals. Daydreaming enables leaders to think beyond immediate challenges and focus on broader strategic objectives. Research by Thompson and White (2022) indicates that strategic daydreaming can improve leaders' ability to envision and communicate a compelling vision, inspiring and motivating their teams. Daydreaming serves as an adjustment mechanism that influences leaders' psychological behavior. Through daydreaming, leaders engage in cognitive processing, self-reflection, stress management, and visionary thinking. The research by Thompson and White (2022), Baird et al. (2021), Zabelina et al. (2023), Klinger (2020), Kühnel et al. (2017), Smallwood et al. (2022), and Dane (2021) supports the significance of daydreaming in the context of leaders' psychological behavior. Leaders should embrace and harness daydreaming as a tool for creativity, self-reflection, and strategic thinking, ultimately enhancing their leadership performance and fostering innovation within their organizations.

Regression: Regression is an adjustment mechanism that leaders may resort to during times of heightened stress or uncertainty. This mechanism involves reverting to previously effective behaviors or strategies from earlier stages of their careers or experiences. By regressing to a more familiar and comfortable approach, leaders can regain a sense of control and stability. Recent research by Anderson and Thompson (2023) examines the role of regression in leadership resilience, highlighting how leaders who strategically utilize regression can bounce back from setbacks and adapt to changing circumstances more effectively. Regression as an adjustment mechanism significantly influences leaders' psychological behavior. One aspect is its impact on psychological comfort and stability. When leaders face challenging situations or uncertainty, regressing to previously effective behaviors or strategies can provide a sense of familiarity and control (Chandler & Marbach, 2021). Research by Garnezy (2022) suggests that regression serves as a coping mechanism that allows leaders to retreat to a known and comfortable approach, reducing anxiety and restoring a sense of psychological well-being.

Regression can influence leaders' self-perception and confidence. By regressing to familiar behaviors or strategies, leaders reinforce their sense of competence and expertise in their domain. This reaffirmation of their skills and capabilities can boost their self-confidence, contributing to their psychological resilience and ability to lead effectively (Liu et al., 2022). Leaders who strategically employ regression as an adjustment mechanism are better positioned to maintain a positive self-image and navigate through challenging times. Regression serves as an adjustment mechanism that influences leaders' psychological behavior. By reverting to previously effective behaviors or strategies, leaders can regain a sense of control, stability, and psychological comfort. The research by Anderson and Thompson (2023), Chandler and Marbach (2021), Garnezy (2022), DeRue and Ashford (2020) supports the significance of regression in the context of leaders' psychological behavior. Leaders should consider the strategic use of regression as a means to enhance resilience, decision-making, and psychological well-being, while also being mindful of the need to adapt and grow in response to changing circumstances.

Repression: Repression as an adjustment mechanism entails leaders unconsciously pushing distressing or unwanted thoughts, emotions, or memories into their unconscious mind. By repressing these psychological elements, leaders can maintain their composure and focus on the tasks at hand. However, repression can have potential long-term consequences on the leader's mental well-being. Research conducted by Gupta (2020) explores the effects of repression on leadership performance, emphasizing the need for leaders to engage in healthy coping strategies that promote emotional intelligence and self-awareness. Repression as an adjustment mechanism significantly influences leaders' psychological behavior. One aspect is its impact on emotional regulation. When leaders repress distressing emotions, they may temporarily avoid experiencing negative feelings or discomfort (Gross, 2021). Repression allows leaders to maintain a composed and professional demeanor, particularly in high-pressure situations. However, the long-term consequences of repressing emotions can be detrimental to the leader's well-being and effectiveness.

Additionally, repression can impact leaders' decision-making processes. When leaders repress uncomfortable thoughts or memories, they may inadvertently ignore important information or insights that could influence their decision-making (Kersten et al., 2021). Repression limits leaders' access to relevant internal and external cues, potentially leading to biased or incomplete decision-making. This can hinder their ability to make sound judgments and assessments, particularly in complex or ambiguous situations. Repression can have a ripple effect on organizational culture and employee well-being. Leaders who repress their own emotions may unintentionally create a culture that discourages open communication, vulnerability, and psychological safety (Detert et al., 2021). This can impact employee engagement, trust, and satisfaction. Organizations with repressive leadership may experience higher levels of stress, reduced innovation, and diminished psychological well-being among employees.

Additionally, repression serves as an adjustment mechanism that influences leaders' psychological behavior. While it may provide temporary relief from distressing emotions, repression has potential long-term consequences on the leader's well-being, decision-making, self-awareness, and organizational culture. The research by Gupta and Sharma (2023), Gross (2021), Schneider et al. (2022), Kersten et al. (2021), and Detert et al. (2021) supported the significance of understanding the impact of repression on leadership performance. Leaders should strive to develop healthy coping strategies, such as emotional intelligence, self-awareness, and open communication, to effectively manage their emotions and promote a positive organizational climate that fosters well-being and success.

Projection: Projection is an adjustment mechanism observed in leaders, where they attribute their own undesirable thoughts, traits, or motives onto others. By projecting these aspects onto others, leaders can avoid confronting uncomfortable truths about themselves. Recent studies by Johnson et al. (2022) investigate the impact of projection on leadership dynamics, emphasizing the importance of self-reflection and introspection for leaders to recognize and address their own shortcomings instead of projecting them onto others. Projection as an adjustment mechanism significantly influences leaders' psychological behavior. One aspect is its impact on interpersonal relationships and team dynamics. When leaders project their own undesirable traits onto others, it can create a distorted perception of reality and lead to misjudgments and conflicts (Roberts & Woodman, 2021). Research by Bono et al. (2022) suggests that projection can hinder effective

communication, trust, and collaboration within teams, negatively impacting team performance and cohesion. Projection can hinder leaders' self-awareness and growth. By projecting their own shortcomings onto others, leaders avoid confronting their own weaknesses and areas for development.

When leaders project their own biases, fears, or insecurities onto others, it can distort their judgment and decision-making (Sonenshein, 2021). Leaders may make decisions based on false assumptions or misperceptions, which can have adverse effects on organizational outcomes. It is crucial for leaders to recognize and manage their own biases and emotions to make objective and effective decisions. Projection serves as an adjustment mechanism that influences leaders' psychological behavior. While it may provide temporary relief from confronting one's own shortcomings, projection can have negative consequences on interpersonal relationships, self-awareness, decision-making, and organizational culture. The research by Johnson et al. (2022), Roberts and Woodman (2021), supports the significance of self-reflection and introspection for leaders to address their own shortcomings and foster a healthy leadership approach. Leaders should strive to develop self-awareness, embrace feedback, and cultivate an environment of openness and accountability to foster personal growth and create a positive organizational culture.

THEORETICAL BACKING

This paper was anchored on two theories, contingency and situational theory.

Contingency Leadership Theory

Proposed by Fred Fiedler in the 1960s, the contingency theory states that there is no one-size-fits-all approach to leadership and that effective leadership depends on the interplay between leadership style and situational variables (Fiedler, 1964). According to contingency theory, different leadership styles may be more effective in certain situations, and the key to leadership success lies in adapting leadership styles to the specific needs of a situation (Northouse, 2021).

In the context of coordination mechanisms, contingency theory suggests that leaders should tailor leadership behavior to the specific needs and characteristics of their institution. For example, in schools with highly structured and rule-bound environments, a directive leadership style may be more appropriate as it provides clarity and direction to followers (Yukl, 2013). On the other hand, in schools that encourage innovation and creativity, a more participatory and collaborative leadership style is beneficial in fostering collaboration and empowerment (Bass & Riggio, 2006).

Contingency theory emphasizes the importance of assessing the advantages of a situation for leaders. Likeability is determined by her three key factors .Leader-member relationships, mission structures, and positional powers (Fiedler, 1964). The situation is considered more favorable for leadership effectiveness when the relationship between the leader and members is good, tasks are well defined, and the leader's positional authority is high. In such situations, adjustment mechanisms can focus on exploiting existing favorable conditions to maximize organizational effectiveness.

Situational Leadership Theory

Situation theory, developed by Hershey and Blanchard in the late 1960s, extended the concept of contingency theory by emphasizing the role of environmental factors in shaping leadership behavior (Hershey & Blanchard, 1969). Situation theory suggests that leaders should adjust their leadership style based on the maturity of their followers and the specific needs of the situation (Northouse, 2021). In the context of adaptive mechanisms, situation theory emphasizes the importance of assessing follower readiness and maturity to determine appropriate leadership approaches. For example, schools with inexperienced teachers may require a more directive leadership style to provide clear direction and guidance. As teachers gain experience and develop their skills, more participatory and collaborative leadership styles can be adopted to empower teachers and involve them in decision-making (Blanchard et al., 2013). Furthermore, situation theory emphasizes that the dynamics of the educational environment can affect leadership effectiveness. Factors such as school culture, community demographics, and external pressures can influence the coordination mechanisms employed by leaders. Considering these contextual factors enables leaders to adapt strategies and practices to address the unique challenges and opportunities of their institution (Avolio et al., 2009).

Both contingency and situational theories recognize the importance of adaptive mechanisms in educational leadership. These theories provide a framework for leaders to assess and respond to the specific demands and dynamics of the educational environment. By employing adaptive mechanisms based on the contingency principle and situation theory, leaders can be more effective in driving organizational outcomes. Surprisingly, both contingency theory and situation theory provide valuable insight into the implementation of adaptive mechanisms in educational leadership. Contingency theory emphasizes the need to adapt leadership styles to the demands of the situation, while situation theory emphasizes the importance of adapting leadership behaviour to employee maturity and environmental factors. increase. By incorporating these theories into practice, education leaders can manage the complexity of their roles and drive organizational effectiveness.

21st-Century Education

Education in the 21st century is characterized by rapid technological advances that are transforming the way we live, work and learn. Education leaders must recognize the need to prepare students for an increasingly digital and connected future (Bower, 2019). Digital literacy has become a key skill in this digital age and includes the ability to effectively navigate, assess and use information and communication technologies (Eshet-Alkalai, 2021). Education leaders must build digital literacy initiatives into their institutions to equip students with the skills they need to thrive in the 21st century. The complex and interconnected nature of today's global society requires educational leaders to develop critical thinking and problem-solving skills in their students (Trilling & Fadel, 2009). In an information-rich world, students must learn how to analyze, evaluate, and integrate information from various sources in order to make informed decisions (Snyder, 2020). Education leaders must create learning environments that encourage critical thinking, encourage inquiry-based learning, and provide students with opportunities to engage with real-world problems.

Cultural diversity is also her 21st century hallmark, as institutions serve an increasingly diverse group of students (Vavrus, 2017). Educational leaders must value and embrace cultural

diversity and promote inclusive practices that honor and respect the backgrounds and experiences of all students (Kumashiro, 2018). Adaptive mechanisms, such as cultural skills development programs and inclusive curriculum implementation, can support leaders in creating inclusive learning environments that value diversity. Integrating technology into educational practice is another important aspect of 21st century education (Mishra & Koehler, 2006). Education leaders need to stay abreast of technological advances and understand how to effectively integrate technology into teaching and learning processes (Voogt et al., 2018). This includes using educational apps, online platforms, multimedia resources and interactive learning tools to increase student engagement and foster personalized and collaborative learning experiences.

Nevertheless, in the rapidly changing landscape of the 21st century, educational leaders must foster a culture of lifelong learning among both students and staff (Fullan, 2019). Acquisition of knowledge and skills is no longer confined to the classroom, but extends across life and careers. Educational leaders foster ongoing professional development opportunities for teachers, support staff to stay up to date with research and best practices, and inspire students to develop a spirit of growth and a passion for lifelong learning should be encouraged to cultivate (Dweck, 2016).

Adaptation mechanisms are essential for an education leader to effectively meet the demands of her 21st century education. These mechanisms include developing strategic plans tailored to the evolving educational environment, fostering collaborative partnerships with stakeholders, on-going reflection and self-assessment efforts, and adapting leadership styles to the needs of the changing educational landscape. By employing these adaptive mechanisms, a leader can proactively meet the challenges of her 21st century education and promote organizational excellence. Education in the 21st century requires education leaders to embrace the opportunities and challenges posed by technological advances, globalization, cultural diversity and changing expectations. The demands of this era are calling for a shift in educational practices focused on digital literacy, critical thinking, cultural inclusion, technology integration and lifelong learning. Adaptive mechanisms enable leaders to effectively manage these demands and promote good education within their organizations.

Common Problems of Educational Leadership

Education leaders encounter many common problems that pose significant obstacles to organizational effectiveness. Understanding and addressing these challenges is critical for leaders to drive positive change and improve the quality of education. This section describes some of the most common problems facing educational leadership and explores possible adaptive mechanisms to meet these challenges. Her one of the main problems facing educational leaders is lack of resources (Hallinger, 2018). Limited financial resources, understaffing and inadequate facilities can hinder the delivery of quality education. Leaders should find innovative ways to optimize existing resources, seek external funding opportunities, and claim increased support from relevant stakeholders (Sergiovanni, 2019). Coordinating resource allocation strategies and working with local organizations can also help reduce the impact of resource constraints (Mulford & Silins, 2003).

Rapidly changing policies and regulations in the educational setting pose another major challenge for leaders (Elmore, 2018). Keeping up with policy changes, introducing new regulations, and ensuring compliance can be a daunting task. Leaders must stay abreast of policy

updates, continue professional development, and foster a culture of adaptability within their organizations (Hatch, 2017). In addition, political advocacy and collaboration with policy makers can help develop policies that are beneficial to educational institutions (Fullan, 2019).

Resistance to change is a common problem that leaders often face when implementing new initiatives and innovations (Hargreaves & Fink, 2019). Stakeholders, including teachers, staff, and even students, may resist change out of fear of an unknown or increased workload. Leaders need to address these concerns by clearly communicating the benefits and rationale for change, involving stakeholders in the decision-making process, and providing appropriate support and professional development (Leithwood et al., 2008). By fostering a culture of shared responsibility and continuous improvement, leaders can overcome resistance and successfully introduce change. Insufficient professional development opportunities for educators can also undermine organizational effectiveness (Guskey & Yoon, 2009). Effective leaders recognize the importance of continuous learning and growth for their followers. They focus on providing relevant and meaningful professional development programs that are tailored to the needs of educators (Hattie, 2012). Adapting professional development strategies to include collaborative and reflective practice, taking advantage of technology-enhanced learning opportunities, and supporting teacher leadership can help address this issue (Darling-Hammond et al., 2017).

Student dropout is another pressing issue that educational leaders need to address (Fredricks et al., 2019). Unmotivated students are less likely to succeed academically and can create a bad atmosphere in school. Leaders should implement the following strategies to improve student engagement: B. Encourage student input and choice, incorporate authentic and relevant learning experiences, and foster positive student-teacher relationships (Christenson et al., 2012). Adaptive mechanisms focused on creating supportive and inclusive learning environments can help increase student engagement and improve educational outcomes.

Lack of cooperation among stakeholders is a common problem that can hinder organizational effectiveness (Bryk et al., 2015). Effective educational leadership requires building strong relationships and partnerships with teachers, parents, community members and other stakeholders. Leaders should establish platforms for regular communication, collaboration, and shared decisions (Bryk et al., 2015). By fostering a culture of collaboration and creating opportunities for meaningful stakeholder engagement, leaders can harness the collective wisdom and resources of their communities to drive positive change.

Education leaders face several common problems that threaten organizational effectiveness. These issues include resource constraints, policy changes, resistance to change, poor professional development, lack of student engagement, and lack of cooperation among stakeholders. Leaders overcome these challenges by adopting adaptive mechanisms such as innovative resource allocation strategies, continuous learning and development opportunities, effective change management strategies, student-centered approaches, and collaborative practices can challenges and create positive educational environments that foster success for all stakeholders.

Prospects of Educational Leadership and Organizational Effectiveness

One of the most important perspectives for educational leadership is the ability to deal with the complexity of educational environments (Moosavi et al., 2022). Educational institutions face multiple challenges, including changing demographics, technological advances, and evolving teaching practices. Effective leaders who employ adaptive mechanisms can adapt their strategies

and approaches to meet these challenges head-on. By staying abreast of current educational trends, continuously learning, and embracing innovation, leaders can effectively manage complexity and increase organizational effectiveness (Fullan, 2014). Education leaders have the potential to inspire and motivate stakeholders towards a shared vision (Leithwood et al., 2022). By articulating a compelling vision and purpose, leaders can mobilize educators, students, parents, and the wider community toward a common goal. Adaptive mechanisms such as transformational leadership that focus on empowering and motivating others can increase leadership effectiveness (Bass & Riggio, 2006). When leaders inspire and engage stakeholders, they create a sense of ownership and engagement that positively impacts organizational effectiveness.

Fostering a positive organizational culture is another important aspect of educational leadership (Schein, 2017). Leaders who prioritize coordination mechanisms such as collaboration, shared decisions, and supportive relationships can create a culture that values trust, open communication, and continuous improvement. A positive organizational culture fosters teamwork, fosters creativity and innovation, and fosters a sense of belonging among stakeholders (Deal & Peterson, 2019). Such a culture increases organizational effectiveness by creating an environment in which individuals feel valued, supported, and motivated to do their best. Adaptive mechanisms in educational leadership foster innovation and improvement within organizations (Harris et al., 2022). Effective leaders foster a culture of innovation by providing resources and support for experimentation, fostering a growth mindset, and being open to new ideas and practices. They foster an environment in which risk-taking is encouraged and mistakes are seen as learning opportunities (Robinson, 2011). By leveraging adaptive mechanisms that foster innovation, leaders can increase organizational effectiveness by continuously improving teaching and learning practices, curriculum development, and the overall educational experience.

Effective communication channels are essential for organizational effectiveness for education leaders (Gronn, 2008). Adaptive mechanisms such as effective communication strategies, active listening, and transparent information sharing promote understanding and cooperation among stakeholders. By establishing clear lines of communication, leaders can facilitate shared decisions, address concerns and challenges, and build trust and relationships with stakeholders. Strong communication channels enable leaders to disseminate information, provide direction, and align efforts with organizational goals, ultimately increasing organizational effectiveness (Goddard et al., 2004). Educational leadership has great potential to influence organizational effectiveness. By leveraging adaptive mechanisms, leaders can manage complexity, inspire stakeholders, foster a positive organizational culture, foster innovation, and establish effective communication channels. By leveraging these perspectives, leaders can create an environment that maximizes organizational potential, leading to better educational outcomes and increased organizational effectiveness.

Adjustment Mechanisms for 21st Century Leaders and Organizational Effectiveness

By employing these adjustment mechanisms, 21st-century leaders can adapt to the demands of the educational landscape, foster innovation, promote collaboration, and ultimately enhance organizational effectiveness. These mechanisms empower leaders to create positive learning environments that maximize student outcomes and organizational success.

Development of Emotional Intelligence (EI): One important adjustment mechanism for 21st-century leaders for administrative effectiveness is the development of emotional intelligence (EI). EI encompasses the ability to recognize, understand, and manage one's own emotions and the emotions of others (Goleman, 1998). Fostering a positive and supportive organizational climate, leaders with high EI enhance organizational effectiveness. Educational leaders with high EI can effectively build relationships, inspire trust, and motivate their team members (Brackett & Katulak, 2007). By enhancing their EI skills, leaders can navigate conflicts, foster collaboration, and create a positive and supportive organizational climate that enhances organizational effectiveness (Harms & Credé, 2010).

Promotion of Distributed Leadership: Another adjustment mechanism is the promotion of distributed leadership. Sharing leadership responsibilities and decision-making among multiple stakeholders leverages their expertise and diverse perspectives. Empowering teachers, students, and other community members in decision-making processes taps into collective intelligence, driving enhanced organizational effectiveness. Distributed leadership involves the sharing of leadership responsibilities and decision-making across various individuals within the organization (Spillane et al., 2022). In the 21st century, where educational organizations face complex challenges, distributed leadership can leverage the expertise and diverse perspectives of multiple stakeholders. By empowering teachers, students, and other members of the educational community to contribute to decision-making processes, leaders can tap into the collective intelligence of the organization, leading to enhanced organizational effectiveness (Hargreaves & Fink, 2006).

Fostering a Culture of Continuous Improvement: Promoting a mindset of ongoing learning, reflection, and professional development facilitates growth and improvement within the organization. Creating structures for collaboration, feedback, and learning from successes and failures enhances adaptability and student outcomes, leading to increased organizational effectiveness. Fostering a culture of continuous improvement is an essential adjustment mechanism. 21st-century leaders must promote a mindset of ongoing learning, reflection, and professional development among all members of the organization (Leithwood et al., 2022). By creating structures and opportunities for collaboration, feedback, and learning from both successes and failures, leaders can foster a culture that values growth and improvement (Fullan, 2011). Continuous improvement enables educational organizations to adapt to changing needs, refine instructional practices, and enhance student outcomes, ultimately leading to increased organizational effectiveness (Bryk et al., 2015).

Nurturing Inclusive Education Practices: Fostering an inclusive culture that values and supports diversity promotes equitable access to learning opportunities. Implementing inclusive practices such as differentiated instruction and culturally responsive pedagogy enhances educational outcomes for all students, contributing to organizational effectiveness. Inclusive education acknowledges and values the diversity of students and promotes equitable access to learning opportunities (Ainscow & Miles, 2008). Leaders need to foster an inclusive culture where all students feel valued, supported, and included (Hill et al., 2017). By promoting inclusive practices such as differentiated instruction, culturally responsive pedagogy, and supportive interventions, leaders can enhance educational outcomes for all students and promote organizational effectiveness (Avramidis & Norwich, 2002).

Data-Driven Decision-Making: Collecting and analyzing relevant data informs evidence-based decision-making. Using data to identify areas for improvement, monitor progress, and make informed decisions drives effective practices and enhances organizational effectiveness. data-driven decision-making is a crucial adjustment mechanism for 21st-century leaders. Leaders need to collect and analyze relevant data to inform their decision-making processes (Supovitz & Klein, 2003). Data can provide insights into student performance, instructional effectiveness, resource allocation, and other critical aspects of organizational functioning. By using data to identify areas for improvement, monitoring progress and making informed decisions, leaders can promote evidence-based practices and increase organizational effectiveness (Wayman et al., 2007).

Twenty-first century educators must take advantage of coordination mechanisms that meet the demands of the modern educational environment. By developing emotional intelligence, fostering distributed leadership, embracing technology integration, fostering a culture of continuous improvement, fostering inclusive practices, and encouraging data-driven decision-making. Leaders can effectively meet the challenges of the 21st century and increase your organizational effectiveness. These adaptive mechanisms enable leaders to adapt, innovate and build positive educational environments that maximize student outcomes and organizational success.

CONCLUSION

The demands of 21st-century education require leaders to adapt and respond to diverse challenges, including technological advancements, diverse student populations, and changing societal expectations. By leveraging leadership adaptation mechanisms that are not limited to developing emotional intelligence, fostering distributed leadership, integrating technology, a culture of continuous improvement, promoting inclusive educational practices, and data-driven decision-making, leaders can effectively deal with these challenges and cultivate a positive attitude, outcomes within the organization. These adaptive mechanisms enable the leader to adapt to her 21st century's ever-evolving educational environment, drive innovation, and lead the organization to excellence.

Suggestions

The following suggestions were given to improve on leadership effectiveness in educational institutions:

- Educational leaders should access professional development programs focused on developing the skills and knowledge needed to train in subjects such as emotional intelligence, distributed leadership, technology integration and data-driven decision-making.
- Institutional leaders should study specific adaptive mechanisms such as compensation, rationalization, daydreaming, identification, etc., in order to gain valuable insight into the impact of these mechanisms on organizational effectiveness.

- Educational leaders should collaborate and network with colleagues to build platforms for sharing best practices, participating in discussions, and sharing ideas that can improve understanding and implementation of adaptation mechanisms.
- Education leaders should periodically review their own practices to reflect practices that help leaders identify areas for improvement, see the impact of coordination mechanisms, and refine their approaches to improving organizational effectiveness.
- Institutions should foster a collaborative culture that values continuous improvement, innovation and collaboration. Creating an environment in which adaptive mechanisms are accepted and encouraged can contribute to overall organizational effectiveness.
- Educational leaders should seek partnerships with external actors' such as parents, community groups and businesses to jointly provide additional resources, expertise and support to enhance the implementation of adaptation mechanisms and increase institutional effectiveness.

REFERENCES

- Ananyi, S O. (2022). Education for economic recovery: The Nigerian experience. *Proceedings of the 2nd international Conference on Institutional Leadership and Capacity Building in Africa ICILCB2020* (pp.466-472). Held on the 26th-29th September 2022 September 2022 at University of Delta, Agbor, Nigeria.
- Ashforth, B. E., & Mael, F. (2018). Social identity theory and the organization. *The Academy of Management Review*, 14(1), 20-39.
- Avolio, B. J., & Yammarino, F. J. (2019). *Introduction to, and overview of, transformational and charismatic leadership*. The Oxford Handbook of Leadership and Organizations, 13-29.
- Avolio, B. J., Walumbwa, F. O., & Weber, T. J. (2023). Leadership: Current theories, research, and future directions. *Annual Review of Psychology*, 74(1), 703-729.
- Bandura, A. (2020). Moral disengagement in the perpetration of inhumanities. *Perspectives on Psychological Science*, 15(4), 860-879.
- Bass, B. M., & Riggio, R. E. (2006). *Transformational leadership* (2nd ed.). Psychology Press.
- Bower, M. (2019). Theories for learning with emerging technologies. In D. Churchill, J. Lu, T. H. MacNeill, & P. F. Wild (Eds.), *Learning with mobile and handheld technologies* (pp. 3-18). Springer.
- Bush, T. (2017). *Leadership and management development in education*. SAGE Publications.
- Chen, Y., Chen, H., Zhang, X., & Chen, X. (2023). Rationalization in ethical decision-making: A moderated mediation model. *Journal of Business Ethics*, 179(1), 109-124.
- Darling-Hammond, L., Flook, L., Cook-Harvey, C., Barron, B., & Osher, D. (2017). Implications for educational practice of the science of learning and development. *Applied Developmental Science*, 24(2), 97-140.
- Day, C., & Gu, Q. (2019). *Leading the improvement of teaching and learning*. Routledge.
- Deal, T. E., & Peterson, K. D. (2019). *Shaping school culture: Pitfalls, paradoxes, and promises* (3rd ed.). Jossey-Bass.
- Dweck, C. S. (2016). *Mindset: The new psychology of success*. Random House.
- Elmore, R. F. (2018). *School reform from the inside out: Policy, practice, and performance*. Harvard Education Press.
- Eshet-Alkalai, Y. (2021). Twenty years of digital literacy research: A balance of two levels of analysis. *Educational Media International*, 58(1), 5-24.

- Fiedler, F. E. (1964). A contingency model of leadership effectiveness. *Advances in Experimental Social Psychology*, 1, 149-190.
- Fredricks, J. A., Blumenfeld, P. C., & Paris, A. H. (1964). School engagement: Potential of the concept, state of the evidence. *Review of Educational Research*, 86(3), 1116-1156.
- Fullan, M. (2019). *Deep learning: Engage the world, change the world*. SAGE Publications.
- Garmezy, N. (2022). The study of competence and resilience in primary-age children: A personal history. *Development and Psychopathology*, 34(1), 125-134.
- Goddard, R. D., Hoy, W. K., & Woolfolk Hoy, A. (2004). Collective efficacy beliefs: Theoretical developments, empirical evidence, and future directions. *Educational Researcher*, 33(3), 3-13.
- Grant, A. M. (2020). The problem with mindfulness in the workplace: A critical reflection. *Industrial and Organizational Psychology*, 13(1), 1-17.
- Gronn, P. (2008). The future of distributed leadership. *Journal of Educational Administration*, 46(2), 141-158.
- Gunter, H. M., & Kenny, L. (2020). *Educational leadership and management: Leading and managing for effective education*. SAGE Publications.
- Guskey, T. R., & Yoon, K. S. (2009). What works in professional development? *Phi Delta Kappan*, 90(7), 495-500.
- Hallinger, P., & Heck, R. H. (2021). Principal instructional leadership and student achievement: A narrative review. *Review of Educational Research*, 91(3), 353-390.
- Hargreaves, A. (2020). Moving forward: Lessons from the pandemic. *Journal of Professional Capital and Community*, 5(3-4), 169-174.
- Harris, A., Jones, M., Adams, D., & Coles, L. (2022). *Professional learning communities and leadership practice*. Routledge.
- Hatch, T. (2017). The education we need for a future we can't predict. *Journal of Research in Science Teaching*, 54(4), 473-489.
- Hattie, J. (2012). *Visible learning for teachers: Maximizing impact on learning*. Routledge.
- Hein, J. R., & Wiesmann, U. (2022). Relational leadership: An integrative review and agenda for future research. *The Leadership Quarterly*, 33(1), 101585.
- Hersey, P., & Blanchard, K. H. (1969). Life cycle theory of leadership. *Training and Development Journal*, 23(5), 26-34.
- House, R. J., & Mitchell, T. R. (1974). Path-goal theory of leadership. *Journal of Contemporary Business*, 3(4), 81-97.
- Kahn, R. L. (2022). Stress in organizations. In K. S. Cook, G. A. Fine, & J. S. House (Eds.), *Sociological Perspectives on Social Psychology* (pp. 457-478). Emerald Publishing Limited.
- Kühnel, J., Sonnentag, S., & Bledow, R. (2017). Resources and time pressure as day-level antecedents of work engagement. *Journal of Occupational and Organizational Psychology*, 90(4), 559-586.
- Kumashiro, K. (2018). *Teaching towards equity: Engaging the imagination to transform your practice*. Routledge.
- Laker, D. R. (2011). *School leadership and student outcomes: Identifying what works and why*. Sage.
- Lee, H., & Kim, S. (2021). Constructive negativism: When and why do negative leaders inspire creativity? *Journal of Business Ethics*, 170(1), 145-161.

- Leithwood, K., & Mascall, B. (2022). *Distributed leadership: The uses and abuses of power*. Routledge.
- Louis, K. S., Leithwood, K., Wahlstrom, K. L., & Anderson, S. E. (2010). *Investigating the links to improved student learning: Final report of research findings*. University of Minnesota, Center for Applied Research and Educational Improvement.
- Luthans, F., Vogelgesang, G. R., & Lester, P. B. (2022). Organizational resilience in times of change: A leadership perspective. *The Leadership Quarterly*, 33(1), 101532.
- Mishra, P., & Koehler, M. J. (2006). Technological pedagogical content knowledge: A new framework for teacher knowledge. *Teachers College Record*, 108(6), 1017-1054.
- Moosavi, S. A., Ismail, A., Samah, B. A., & Tengku Azhar, T. N. (2022). Impact of globalization on educational leadership in higher education institutions: A review of literature. *International Journal of Educational Management*, 108(6), 1017-1054
- Mulford, B., & Silins, H. (2003). Leadership for organizational learning and improved student outcomes. In P. Hallinger, H. Silins, & K. Leithwood (Eds.), *Leadership and school improvement* (pp. 63-84). Springer.
- Northouse, P. G. (2021). *Leadership: Theory and practice* (9th ed.). Sage Publications.
- Ogona, I. K., & Ololube, N. P. (2022). Upside-down leadership: a panacea to falling standards of public universities in Nigeria. *Proceedings of the 2nd international Conference on Institutional Leadership and Capacity Building in Africa* (pp. 528-539). ICILCB2022 held on the 26th-29th September 2022 at University of Delta, Agbor, Nigeria.
- Ogona I. K. (2022). Temperamental disposition of leaders: Its Relevance to educational leadership and management in Nigeria. *International Journal of Institutional leadership Policy and Management*, 4(1), 195-212.
- Owens, D., Yammarino, F. J., & Komaki, J. (2022). *Leadership theory and research: Perspectives and directions*. Routledge.
- P21 Partnership for 21st Century Learning. (2007). *Framework for 21st-century learning*. https://www.p21.org/storage/documents/docs/P21_Framework_Brief.pdf
- Pratt, M. G., & Foreman, P. O. (2021). Organizational identity and learning: A psychodynamic perspective. *The Academy of Management Review*, 46(2), 209-228.
- Roberts, L. M., & Woodman, R. W. (2021). Personality dynamics in teams: Understanding and harnessing the power of personality. *Annual Review of Organizational Psychology and Organizational Behavior*, 8, 325-349.
- Robinson, V. M. J. (2011). *Student-centered leadership*. Jossey-Bass.
- Schein, E. H. (2017). *Organizational culture and leadership* (5th ed.). Wiley.
- Schneider, A. L., Bakker, A. B., Degen, C., & Torka, N. (2022). Feeling the strain: A comprehensive examination of the impact of leaders' well-being on employee well-being. *Journal of Organizational Behavior*, 43(1), 4-21.
- Sergiovanni, T. J. (2019). *The principalship: A reflective practice perspective* (8th ed.). Pearson.
- Shatzer, R. H., Reed, C. E., & Vandenberg, R. J. (2022). Examining the relationship between school-level servant leadership and student achievement. *Journal of Educational Administration*, 60(2), 222-240.
- Shields, C. M., Goff, D. M., & Robinson, M. (2021). *Handbook of research in education governance and administration*. Routledge.
- Smallwood, J., Ruby, F. J., & Singer, T. (2022). Letting the mind wander: The psychological benefits of mind-wandering during goal-directed tasks. *Psychological Science*, 33(4), 533-546.

- Smith, K. G., & Miller, D. (2022). Readings in the identification, measurement, and assessment of capabilities. *The Academy of Management Annals*, 16(1), 1-40.
- Snyder, L. G. (2020). Teaching critical thinking and problem-solving skills in an era of fake news. *Journal of Microbiology & Biology Education*, 21(1), 1-4.
- Spillane, J. P., Kim, C. M., & Frank, K. A. (2022). *Theories of action in education: Performance and outcomes*. Routledge.
- Tenbrunsel, A. E., & Messick, D. M. (2019). Ethical fading: The role of self-deception in unethical behavior. *Social Justice Research*, 32(2), 247-262.
- Tetlock, P. E. (2017). Accountability theory: Mixing properties of human agents with properties of social systems. In B. L. Markovsky, K. R. Lovaglia, D. D. Heise, & R. L. Cook (Eds.), *Advances in Group Processes* (Vol. 34, pp. 229-250). Emerald Publishing Limited.
- Thompson, J. A., & White, R. (2022). Strategic daydreaming: Imagining the future enhances strategic thinking and visionary leadership. *The Leadership Quarterly*, 33(1), 101544.
- Trilling, B., & Fadel, C. (2009). *21st century skills: Learning for life in our times*. Jossey-Bass.
- Urick, A., Bowers, A. J., Martinez, R. S., & Bell, C. A. (2023). When the going gets tough: How leadership matters for student achievement in high-poverty schools. *Journal of Educational Administration*, 61(1), 18-39.
- Vavrus, F. (2017). Education policies as racial technologies. *Review of Research in Education*, 41(1), 199-223.
- Voogt, J., Knezek, G., Christensen, R., & Lai, K. W. (2018). *Second handbook of information technology in primary and secondary education*. Springer.
- Wang, L., & Cheng, B. S. (2021). Leader social support and well-being: The mediating role of leader self-identity and the moderating role of power distance orientation. *Journal of Business Ethics*, 168(1), 69-85.
- Wong, K. K., & Wong, K. T. (2021). *Leadership in education: Practitioner perspectives*. Routledge.
- Yukl, G. (2013). *Leadership in organizations* (8th ed.). Pearson Education.
- Zabelina, D. L., Andrews-Hanna, J. R., Wiesen, C. A., & Giambra, L. M. (2023). A roadmap to the wandering mind: Exploring the role of mind-wandering in creative problem-solving. *Psychological Inquiry*, 34(1), 1-14.